Reply of Government.—Noted. The subject was much discussed before and the present rules are the result.

Subject No. 137.

Khalsat on Brahmadaya inam to be removed.

Mr. S. Subraya Bhattaru.
„ Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.
„ K. Srinivasa Rao.

Summary of Representation.—Khalsat amount on Brahmadaya inam lands should also be struck off as in the case of Devadaya inam lands and also on Nadig Inams.

Reply of Government.—It is not feasible to do anything more than what has already been done.

Subject No. 138.

Separation of Devadaya and Bramhadaya Inam lands.

Mr. Sivarajapur Subraya Bhattaru
„ Sarja Manjappa, Tirthahalli Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—Devadaya and Bramhadaya inam lands were in separate enjoyment for a long time. During the Survey Settlement both these inam lands have been grouped together and entered in one joint khate. This has caused much inconvenience and trouble for the levy of kandaya, etc. It is requested that these lands may be ordered to be sub-divided.

Reply of Government.—A report will be called for from the Survey Commissioner.

Subject No. 139.

Lands abutting the boundaries of Inam villages may be given to Inamdars.

Mr. Sivarajapur Subraya Bhattaru
„ Sarja Manjappa, Tirthahalli, Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The lands abutting the boundaries of villages which were granted as Inam have been noted in the Sannads originally granted by Rajas. These Inams have been approved of and confirmed by Government. During the Survey Settlement, the lands referred to were resumed by Government. It is requested that such lands may be given back to Inamdars.

Mr. Sivarajapur Subraya Bhattaru said that they had been presenting petitions from 1883 (date of Survey Settlement).

Reply of Government.—It is doubtful if an enquiry after the lapse of so many years will be beneficial. The Survey Commissioner will be asked to report upon the subject.

Subject No. 140.

Amendment of the Land Revenue Code.

Mr. Madappa of Mysore.

Summary of Representation.—The Land Revenue due to Government on Sirkar lands is a paramount charge on the holding as per Section 54 of the Revenue Code.

The Chief Court holds that the above section does not apply to lands in Inam villages on the ground that the Land Revenue Rules are silent on the point. The above rule may be modified so as to include Inam villages under its operation.

Messrs. C. Subba Rao, Venkatsubbaiya and Subraya Bhattaru spoke on the subject. The decision of the Chief Court applying three years' limitation to such recoveries was represented to cause hardship.

Reply of Government.—Section 97 of the Land Revenue Code is applicable to Inam villages. It does not appear clear whether the amendment is desirable. The matter will be investigated.